Reedham Parish Council do not believe the GNLP meets the ‘soundness’ test in order for it to be approved. Policy 2, issue 1, and Policy 7.4, item 386, both state that sites must have safe access and/or route to schools, amongst other local facilities. There are 2 sites proposed in Reedham, neither of which provides safe access to the local primary school. GNLP1001 acknowledges ‘it is accepted it is not possible to provide an off-carriageway pedestrian footway for the whole route to Reedham Primary School’. GNLP3003 also states ‘it is accepted that it is not possible to provide an off-carriageway pedestrian footway to the school’. Therefore, according 2 policies in the GNLP document itself, neither site should be put forward for inclusion in the final submission.

Summary

Reedham Parish Council do not believe the GNLP meets the ‘soundness’ test. Policy 2, issue 1, and Policy 7.4, item 386, both state that sites must have safe access and/or route to schools, amongst other local facilities. Neither of the 2 sites proposed provide safe access to the local primary school. GNLP1001 acknowledges ‘it is not possible to provide an off-carriageway pedestrian footway for the whole route to Reedham Primary School’. GNLP3003 also states ‘it is not possible to provide an off-carriageway pedestrian footway to the school’. Therefore neither site should be put forward for inclusion in the final submission.